STIP GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ. State Bar Number 7358 9525 Hillwood Dr., Suite 190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (702) 868-8866 gabriel@grassodefense.com Attorney for NAVARRO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) |
|---------------------------|--|
| Plaintiff, |))) |
| vs. |) |
| ANTHONY NAVARRO, |) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE) HEARING REGARDING REVOCATION |
| Defendant. |) OF PRETRIAL RELEASE |
| Doromadina | (THIRD REQUEST) |
| | <u> </u> |

Certification: This stipulation is filed pursuant to General Order 2007-04.

IT IS STIPULATED between the defendant ANTHONY NAVARRO through his attorney GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ., and the United States of America, through ROBERT KNIEF, Assistant United States Attorney, that the hearing regarding revocation of supervised release currently scheduled for August 21, 2017, at the hour of 9:30 a.m., be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this court, but no event earlier than Thirty (30) days.

This Stipulation is entered into pursuant to General Order 2007-04 and based upon the following:

- 1. There have been two previous continuances granted to the defense in this case.
- 2. The defendant will need additional time to resolve his criminal matter in the State of New York which is the basis for the Government's revocation request and will therefore impact the Court's decision.
- 3. The parties agree to the continuance.

| 1 | 4. Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant sufficien | | | |
|----|--|---|--|--|
| 2 | | time to be able to fairly resolve his case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. | | |
| 3 | 5. | Also, denial of this request or continuance would result in a miscarriage of | | |
| 4 | | justice. | | |
| 5 | 6. | For the above stated reasons, the parties agree that a continuance of the | | |
| 6 | | hearing regarding revocation of pretrial release. | | |
| 7 | 7. | This is the third request for a continuance on the hearing regarding | | |
| 8 | revocation of pretrial release. | | | |
| 9 | DATED this 17th day of August, 2017. | | | |
| 10 | RESPECTF | ULLY SUBMITTED BY: | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | pert Knief /s/ Gabriel L. Grasso | | |
| 13 | ROBERT KN Assistant Ur | NIEF GABRIEL L. GRASSO iited States Attorney Attorney for NAVARRO | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |

| 1 | GABRIEL L. GRASSO, ESQ. | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | State Bar Number 7358 | | | |
| 3 | 9525 Hillwood Dr., Suite 190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 | | | |
| 4 | (702) 868-8866 | | | |
| 5 | gabriel@grassodefense.com Attorney for NAVARRO | | | |
| 6 | HAUTED STAT | TES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| 8 | IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA | | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, |) | | |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | <i>,</i>) | | |
| | |) Case No.: 2:14-cr-00328-KJD-NJK | | |
| 11 | vs. |)) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE | | |
| 12 | ANTHONY NAVARRO, |) HEARING REGARDING REVOCATION | | |
| 13 | Defendent |) OF PRETRIAL RELEASE | | |
| 14 | Defendant. |)) | | |
| 15 | | í , | | |
| | | | | |
| 16 | <u>FINDI</u> | NGS OF FACT | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | Based upon the submitted Stipul | ation, and good cause appearing therefore, the | | |
| 19 | Court finds that: | | | |
| 20 | 1. The defendant will need additional time to resolve his criminal matter in the | | | |
| 21 | State of New York which is the basis for the Government's revocation | | | |
| 22 | request and will therefore impact the Court's decision. | | | |
| | This stipulation complies wi | ith General Order 2007-04. | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | CONCLL | JSIONS OF LAW | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | 1. Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant sufficient | | | |
| 27 | time to be able to fairly resolve his case, taking into account the exercise of | | | |
| | due diligence | | | |
| 28 | | | | |

| | 2 |
|---|---|
| | 3 |
| | 4 |
| | 5 |
| | 6 |
| | 7 |
| | 8 |
| | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 2 | 8 |
| | |

1

- 2. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 3. This is the third request for a continuance.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the revocation of supervised release currently scheduled for August 21, 2017, at the hour of 9:30 a.m., be vacated and continued to September 25, 2017, at the hour of 9:00 a.m.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: ____August 18, 2017